arXiv:1211.1613v1 [math.AP] 7 Nov 2012

Global existence and large time behavior for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media in $\mathbb{R}^3$ *

Guochun Wu † , Zhong Tan and Jun Huang
School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Fujian 361005, China

Abstract

The system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media is considered in $\mathbb{R}^3$ in the present paper. The global existence and uniqueness of classical solutions are obtained when the initial data is near its equilibrium. We also show that the pressure of the system converges to its equilibrium state at the same L^2-rate $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ as the Navier-Stokes equations without heat conductivity, but the velocity of the system decays at the L^2-rate $(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}$, which is faster than the L^2-rate $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ for the Navier-Stokes equations without heat conductivity [3].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 76W05, 35Q35, 35D05, 76X05.

Keywords. Euler equation with damping, Global existence, Large time behavior.

1 Introduction

The motion of compressible adiabatic flow can be modeled by the compressible Euler equations with frictional damping terms, say, the following balance laws:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \nabla\cdot(\rho u\otimes u) + \nabla p = -a\rho u, \\ \partial_t(\rho\mathscr{E}) + \nabla\cdot(\rho u\mathscr{E} + up) = -a\rho u^2, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

for $(t,x)\in[0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Here ρ, $u=(u_1,u_2,u_3)^t$ and p denote the density, the velocity, and the pressure respectively. The total energy $\mathscr{E}=\frac{|u|^2}{2}+e$, where e is the

---

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China-NSAF (Grant No. 10976026).

†Corresponding author: Guochun Wu, Email address: guochunwu@126.com

internal energy. The constant $a > 0$ models friction. In this paper, we will consider only polytropic fluids, so that the equations of state for the fluid is given by

$$p = R\rho\theta, \quad e = c_v\theta,$$

where $R > 0,\ c_v > 0$ are the universal gas constant and the specic heat at constant volume respectively.

In the case of isentropic flow where s=const., (1.1) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \nabla\cdot(\rho u\otimes u) + \nabla p = -a\rho u. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

For one dimension, the system (1.2) is hyperbolic with two characteristic speeds $\lambda = u \pm \sqrt{p'(\rho)}$. As a vacuum appears, it fails to be strict hyperbolic. Thus, the system involves three mechanisms: nonlinear convection, lower-order dissipation of damping and the resonance due to vacuum. The global existence of a smooth solution with small data was first proved by Nishida [23,24], and the behavior of the smooth solution was studied in many papers, the reader can refer to [1,2,5-7,10,12-15,18,20,21,30,32] and references therein. In multi-dimension space, Wang-Yang [29] considered the time-asymptotic behavior of solutions in multi-dimensions, the global existence and pointwise estimates of the solutions were obtained. Sideris-Thomases-Wang [27] proved that damping prevents the development of singularities in small amplitude classical solutions in three-dimensional space, using an equivalent reformulation of the Cauchy problem to obtain effective energy estimates. Fang-Xu [4] studied the existence and asymptotic behavior of C^1 solutions to the multi-dimensional space on the framework of Besov space. Jang-Masmoudi [16] studied well-posedness of compressible Euler equations in a physical vacuum. The optimal estimates was obtained by Tan-Wu [28]. For initial boundary value problem, refer for instance to [10,26] and references therein.

For the adiabatic flow where $s \neq$ const., much less is known. For one dimension, the global existence of smooth solutions to the Cauchy problem has been proved in [11] and [31] for small initial data. The problem of large time behavior of these solutions is known only for some particular initial data; see [8,22]. For initial boundary value problem, refer for instance to [9,25] and references therein.

From the physical point of view, the multi-dimensional case of model (1.1) describes more realistic phenomena. Also the multi-dimensional compressible Euler equations carry some unique features, such as the effect of vorticity, which are totally absent in the one dimensional case and make the problem more mathematical challenge, system (1.1) and its time-asymptotic behavior are of great importance and are much less understood than its one dimensional companion. To our knowledge, there is not any work for the full system (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The study of this paper is motivated by Duan-Ma [3], where the authors considered the compressible Navier-Stokes equations without heat conductivity in terms of the variables p, u and s. It is well-known that all thermodynamics variables ρ, θ, e, p as well as the entropy s can be denoted by functions of any two of them. We take the two variables to be p and s. Then the equation of state for the gas is then given by

$$\rho = kp^{\frac{c_v}{c_v+R}} e^{-\frac{s}{c_v+R}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $k > 0$ is a constant. Under the aforementioned assumptions, the system (1.1) in terms of the variables p, u and s reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p + \frac{R+c_v}{c_v} p \nabla \cdot u + u \cdot \nabla p = 0, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \frac{\nabla p}{\rho} = -au, \\ \partial_t s + (u \cdot \nabla)s = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $\rho = \rho(p, s)$ is defined by (1.3). Notice that (1.4) is a hyperbolic system, where the dissipation comes from damping. We consider the initial value problem to (1.3) in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the initial data

$$(p(x), u(x), s(x))|_{t=0} = (p_0(x), u_0(x), s_0(x)) \longrightarrow (p_\infty, 0, s_\infty) \;\; as \;\; |x| \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $p_\infty > 0$ and s_∞ are given constants.

Before we state the main results, let us introduce some notations for the use throughout this paper. C denotes some positive constant. The norms in the Sobolev Spaces $H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are denoted respectively by $||\cdot||_m$ and $||\cdot||_{m,q}$ for $m \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$. In particular, for $m = 0$ we will simply use $||\cdot||$ and $||\cdot||_{L^q}$. Moreover, we use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Finally,

$$\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3), \quad \partial_i = \partial_{x_i}, \; i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and for any integer $l \geq 0$, $\nabla^l f$ denotes all derivatives of order l of the function f. And for multi-indices α and β

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3),$$

we use

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i,$$

and $C_\alpha^\beta = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$ where $\beta \leq \alpha$.

For the global existence and large time behavior of classical solutions, we have the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let the initial data (p_0, u_0, s_0) be such that $||(p_0 - p_\infty, u_0, s_0 - s_\infty)||_3$ is sufficiently small and $||(p_0 - p_\infty, u_0)||_{L^1}$ is bounded. Then the initial value problem (1.4)-(1.5) admits a unique solution (p, u, s) globally in time with $p > 0$, satisfying*

$$p - p_\infty, u, s - s_\infty \in C^0(0, \infty; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1(0, \infty; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Moreover, there exists a constant C_0 such that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$||(p - p_\infty, u)(t)||_3^2 + \int_0^t (||\nabla p(\tau)||_2^2 + ||u(\tau)||_3^2) d\tau \leq C_0 ||(p_0 - p_\infty, u_0)||_3^2,$$

$$||(s - s_\infty)(t)||_3 \leq C_0 ||(p_0 - p_\infty, u_0, s - s_\infty)||_3 e^{C_0 ||(p_0 - p_\infty, u_0)||_{L^1 \cap H^3}}.$$

Finally, there is a constant C_1 such that for any $t \geq 0$, the solution (p, u, s) has the decay properties

$$\begin{aligned} &||(p - p_\infty)(t)|| \leq C_1 (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \\ &||\nabla (p - p_\infty)(t)||_2 + ||u||_3 \leq C_1 (1 + t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \\ &||\partial_t (p, u, s)(t)|| \leq C_1 (1 + t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We will reformulate the problem in Section 2. In section 3, we take Hodge decomposition to analyze linearized system and establish the L^2 time-decay rate. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 4 and 5.

2 Reformulated system

In this section, we first reformulate the problem as follows. Set

$$\kappa_1 = \sqrt{\frac{c_v}{(R + c_v)\rho_\infty p_\infty}}, \ \kappa_2 = \sqrt{\frac{(R + c_v) p_\infty}{c_v \rho_\infty}},$$

where $\rho_\infty = \rho(p_\infty, s_\infty)$. Taking change of variables by

$$(p, u, s) \longrightarrow (p + p_\infty, \kappa_1 u, s + s_\infty),$$

the initial value problem (1.4)-(1.5) is reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p + \kappa_2 \nabla \cdot u = F, \\ \partial_t u + \kappa_2 \nabla p + a u = G, \\ \partial_t s + \kappa_1 (u \cdot \nabla) s = 0, \\ (p, u, s)|_{t=0} := (p_0, u_0, s_0) \to (0, 0, 0) \ as \ |x| \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(p, u, s) \ &= -\tfrac{(R + c_v)\kappa_1}{c_v} p \nabla \cdot u - \kappa_1 u \cdot \nabla p, \\ G(p, u, s) \ &= -\kappa_1 (u \cdot \nabla) u - \tfrac{1}{\kappa_1} (\tfrac{1}{\rho} - \tfrac{1}{\rho_\infty}) \nabla p, . \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel, for the notational simplicity, we still denote the reformulated variables by (p, u, s).

Let us define the solution space and the solution norm of the initial value problem (2.1) by

$$\begin{aligned} X(0, T) = \ &\{(p, u, s); p, u, s \in C^0(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ &\nabla p \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), u \in L^2(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3))\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N(0,T)^2 = \sup_{0\le t\le T} ||(p,u,s)||_3^2 + \int_0^T (||\nabla p(t)||_2^2 + ||u(t)||_3^2)dt,$$

for any $0 \le T \le \infty$. The local existence and uniqueness of H^3 solution can be established by following the methods in Kato [17] or Majda [19].

Proposition 2.1 (local existence)**.** Let $(p_0, u_0, s_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3} \{p_0(x) + p_\infty\} > 0.$$

Then there exists a positive constant T_0 depending on $N(0,0)$ such that the initial value problem (2.1) has a unique solution $(p,u,s) \in X(0,T_0)$ which satisfies $N(0,T_0) \le 2N(0,0)$ and

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3, 0\le t\le T_0} \{p(t,x) + p_\infty\} > 0.$$

To prove global existence of a smooth solution with small initial data, we establish global a priori estimates of the solution.

Proposition 2.2 (A priori estimate)**.** Let $(p_0, u_0, s_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(p_0, u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Suppose that the initial value problem (2.1) has a solution $(p,u,s) \in X(0,T)$, where T is a positive constant. Then there exist a small constant $\epsilon > 0$ and a constant C_2, which are independent of T, such that if

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} ||(p,u,s)(t)||_3 \le \epsilon, \tag{2.2}$$

then for any $t \in [0,T]$, it holds that

$$||(p,u)(t)||_3^2 + \int_0^t (||\nabla p(\tau)||_2^2 + ||u(\tau)||_3^2)d\tau \le C_2||(p_0,u_0)||_3^2, \tag{2.3}$$

$$||s(t)||_3 \le C_2||(p_0,u_0,s_0)||_3 e^{C_2K_0}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$K_0 = ||(p_0,u_0)||_{L^1\cap H^3}. \tag{2.5}$$

Furthermore, there is a constant C_3 such that for any $t \in [0,T]$, the solution (p,u,s) has the decay properties

$$||p(t)|| \le C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$||\nabla p(t)||_2 + ||u||_3 \le C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$||\partial_t(p,u,s)(t)|| \le C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 by standard continuity argument.

3 Spectral analysis and linear L^2 estimates

The linearized equations corresponding to system (2.1) is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p + \kappa_2 \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ \partial_t u + \kappa_2 \nabla p + a u = 0, \\ \partial_t s = 0, \\ (p, u, s)|_{t=0} := (p_0, u_0, s_0) \to (0, 0, 0) \ as \ |x| \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We take Hodge decomposition to analyze the first two of linearized system (3.1). For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by Λ^r the pseudo differential operator defined by $\Lambda^r f = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^r \hat{f}(\xi))$. Let $v = \Lambda^{-1} div\ u$ be the "compressible part" of the velocity and $\omega = \Lambda^{-1} curl\ u$ (with $(curl\ z)_i^j = \partial_j z^i - \partial_i z^j$) be the "incompressible part", then the first two of linearized system (3.1) writes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p + \kappa_2 \Lambda v = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \kappa_2 \Lambda p + a v = 0, \\ \partial_t w + a w = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed, as the definition of v and ω, and relation

$$u = -\Lambda^{-1} \nabla v - \Lambda^{-1} div\ \omega \tag{3.3}$$

involve pseudo-differential operators of degree zero, the estimates in space $H^l(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the original function u will be the same as for (v, ω).

This section is devoted to the proof of the following results.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $U_0 = (p_0, u_0) \in H^l(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $U = (p, u)$ satisfies the first two equation of system (3.1). Let $v := \Lambda^{-1} div\ u$ and $\omega := \Lambda^{-1} curl\ u$. Then there exists a constant C such that for $0 \le |k| \le l$,*

$$\|\partial_x^k \omega(t)\| \le C e^{-at} \|U_0\|_k, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|\partial_x^k p(t)\| \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{|k|}{2}} (\|U_0\|_{L^1} + \|U_0\|_k), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_x^k v(t)\| + \|\partial_x^k u(t)\| \\ \le\ & C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{|k|}{2}} (\|U_0\|_{L^1} + \|U_0\|_k). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof: The estimate for ω is obvious, so let us focus on the first two equations of (3.2). In terms of the semigroup theory for evolutionary equation, the solution (p, v) of the first two equation of system (3.2) can be expressed via the Cauchy problem for $V = (p, v)^t$ as

$$V_t = BV, \quad V(0) = V_0, \quad t \ge 0.$$

which gives rise to

$$V(t) = S(t) V_0 =: e^{tB} V_0, \quad t \ge 0.$$

What is left is to analyze the differential operator B in terms of its Fourier expression A and show the long time properties of the semigroup $S(t)$. Taking the Fourier transform with respect to the space variable yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{V} = A(\xi)\hat{V} \quad \text{with} \quad A(\xi) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa_2|\xi| \\ \kappa_2|\xi| & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of $A(\xi)$ is $\lambda^2 + a\lambda + \kappa_2^2|\xi|^2$ and possesses two distinct roots:

$$\lambda_\pm(\xi) = -\frac{a}{2}\Big(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}}\Big). \tag{3.7}$$

The semigroup e^{tA} is expressed as

$$e^{tA} = e^{\lambda_+ t}P_+ + e^{\lambda_- t}P_-,$$

where the project operators $P_\pm$ can be computed as

$$P_+ = \frac{A(\xi) - \lambda_- I}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \quad \textit{and} \quad P_- = \frac{A(\xi) - \lambda_+ I}{\lambda_- - \lambda_+}.$$

By a direct computation, we can verify the exact expression the Fourier transform $\hat{G}(\xi, t)$ of Green's function $G(x, t) = e^{tB}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}(\xi,t) &=: e^{tA} = e^{\lambda_+ t}P_+ + e^{\lambda_- t}P_- \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- t} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ t}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} & -\frac{\kappa_2|\xi|(e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t})}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \\ \frac{\kappa_2|\xi|(e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t})}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} & \frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- t} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ t}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} - \frac{a(e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t})}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

To derive the long-time decay rate of solutions whatever in L^2 framework or on point-wise estimate, we need to verify the approximation of the eigenvalues (3.7), we are able to obtain that it holds for $|\xi| \ll 1$ that

$$\frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- t} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ t}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \sim \frac{-ae^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t} + \frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}e^{-at}}{-a}, \quad |\xi| \ll 1, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\frac{e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \sim \frac{e^{-at} - e^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t}}{-a}, \quad |\xi| \ll 1, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- t} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ t}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} - \frac{a(e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t})}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \sim \Big(1 - \frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^3}\Big)e^{-at}, \quad |\xi| \ll 1. \tag{3.11}$$

To enclose the esimates, we also need to deal with the high frequency $|\xi| \gg 1$. By a direct computation, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. For any given constant $\eta > 0$, there exist two positive constant C and R_0 which are just dependent of η such that

$$|||\hat{G}(\xi,t)||| \leq Ce^{-R_0 t} \; for \;\; |\xi| \geq \eta,$$

where $|||\cdot|||$ denotes the norm of matrix for simplicity.

Let us now tackle the proof of (3.5) and (3.6). The proof relies on the use of explicit expression for $\hat{p}$, $\hat{v}$. By (3.8), we have

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_- t} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_+ t}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}\hat{p}_0 - \frac{\kappa_2|\xi|(e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t})}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}\hat{v}_0.$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10) and Lemma 3.2, we have the L^2-decay rate for p as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{p}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \;& \textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} |\hat{p}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} |\hat{p}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi \\ \leq \;& C\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} e^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t}(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ & +Ce^{-R_0 t}\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\geq\eta}(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ \leq \;& C\|(p_0,u_0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} e^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t}d\xi \\ & +Ce^{-R_0 t}\|(p_0,u_0)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ \leq \;& C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|(p_0,u_0)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Taking the same argument, we have the L^2-decay rate for v as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{v}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \;& \textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} |\hat{v}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} |\hat{v}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi \\ \leq \;& C\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} e^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t}|\xi|^2(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ & +Ce^{-R_0 t}\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\geq\eta}(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ \leq \;& C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\|(p_0,u_0)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The L^2-decay rate on the derivatives of (n,u) as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\partial_x^k p}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \;& \textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}|\hat{p}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}|\hat{p}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi \\ \leq \;& C\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}e^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t}(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ & +Ce^{-R_0 t}\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ \leq \;& C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-k}\|(p_0,u_0)\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)\bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\partial_x^k v}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \;& \textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}|\hat{v}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}|\hat{v}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi \\ \leq \;& C\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\leq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}e^{-\frac{\kappa_2^2|\xi|^2}{a^2}t}|\xi|^2(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ & +Ce^{-R_0 t}\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} |\xi|^{2k}(|\hat{p}_0|^2 + |\hat{v}_0|^2)d\xi \\ \leq \;& C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}-k}\|(p_0,u_0)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for $k \geq 1$. By the relation between u and (v, ω), we can easily get the estimate for u:

$$\|\partial_x^k u(t)\| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}-k}\|U_0\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)\bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The proof of proposition 3.1 is completed.

We also need the following Sobolev's inequalities.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then it holds:
(i) $||f||_{L^\infty} \leq C||\nabla f||^{\frac{1}{2}}||\nabla f||_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C||\nabla f||_1$;
(ii) $||f||_{L^6} \leq C||\nabla f||$;
(iii) $||f||_{L^q} \leq C||f||_1,\ 2 \leq q \leq 6$.

4 A priori estimates

We suppose that the inequality (2.2) holds throughout this section and next section. The initial value problem (2.1) has a solution (p, u, s) in the space $X(0,T)$ with some $T \in (0, +\infty]$. We also omit the variable t of all functions in the proof of different lemmas in this section for simplicity.

In what follows, a series of lemmas on the energy estimates are given. Firstly, the energy estimate of lower order for (p, u) is obtained in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. There exists a constant $D_1 > 0$ suitably large which is independent of ϵ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(D_1||(p,u)(t)||^2 + \langle \nabla p, u\rangle(t)) + C(||\nabla p(t)||^2 + ||u(t)||^2) \leq C||\nabla u(t)||^2, \tag{4.1}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Proof: Multiplying $(2.1)_1$-$(2.1)_2$ by $p,\ u$ respectively and then integrating them over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||(p,u)(t)||^2 + a||u(t)||^2 = \langle p, F\rangle + \langle u, G\rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

The two terms on the right hand side of the above equation can be estimated as follows.

Firstly, for the first term, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, F\rangle &= -\tfrac{(R+c_v)\kappa_1}{c_v}\langle p, p\nabla\cdot u\rangle - \kappa_1\langle p, u\cdot\nabla p\rangle \\ &= (-\tfrac{(R+c_v)\kappa_1}{c_v} + \tfrac{\kappa_1}{2})\langle p^2, \nabla\cdot u\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3, Hölder's inequality and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle p^2, \nabla\cdot u\rangle| &\leq ||p||_{L^3}||p||_{L^6}||\nabla u|| \leq C||p||_1||\nabla p||||\nabla u|| \\ &\leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Putting (4.4) into (4.3,) we arrive at

$$|\langle p, F\rangle| \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2 + ||u||^2). \tag{4.5}$$

For the second term, we have

$$|\langle u, G\rangle| \leq C(|\langle u, (u\cdot\nabla)u\rangle| + |\langle u, (\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty})\nabla p\rangle|). \tag{4.6}$$

Similar to the proof of (4.5), it follows from Lemma 3.3, Hölder inequality and (2.2) that

$$|\langle u, (u\cdot\nabla)u\rangle| \leq ||u||_{L^3}||u||_{L^6}||\nabla u|| \leq C||u||_1||\nabla u||^2 \leq C\epsilon||\nabla u||^2, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, (\tfrac{1}{\rho} - \tfrac{1}{\rho_\infty})\nabla p\rangle| &\leq ||u||_{L^6}||\tfrac{1}{\rho} - \tfrac{1}{\rho_\infty}||_{L^3}||\nabla p|| \\ &\leq C||\nabla u||||(p,s)||_1||\nabla p|| \\ &\leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where by (1.3) and (2.2), we have used the fact

$$\rho \sim \rho_\infty + \mathcal{O}(1)(p+s)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)(p+s).$$

Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.6), we obtain that the second term is bounded by

$$|\langle u, G\rangle| \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2). \tag{4.9}$$

Hence combining (4.2), (4.5) and (4.9) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}||(p,u)||^2 + C||u||^2 \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2), \tag{4.10}$$

since $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Next we shall estimate $||\nabla p||^2$. From $(2.1)_2$, we have

$$\kappa_2||\nabla p||^2 = \langle -u_t, \nabla p\rangle - a\langle u, \nabla p\rangle + \langle G, \nabla p\rangle. \tag{4.11}$$

By $(2.1)_1$, the first term on the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -u_t, \nabla p\rangle &= -\tfrac{d}{dt}\langle \nabla p, u\rangle + \langle \nabla p_t, u\rangle \\ &= -\tfrac{d}{dt}\langle \nabla p, u\rangle - \langle p_t, \nabla\cdot u\rangle \\ &= -\tfrac{d}{dt}\langle \nabla p, u\rangle + \langle \kappa_2\nabla\cdot u - F, \nabla\cdot u\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It follows from the definition of F that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle -F, \nabla\cdot u\rangle| &\leq C(|\langle p\nabla\cdot u, \nabla\cdot u\rangle| + |\langle u\cdot\nabla p, \nabla\cdot u\rangle|) \\ &\leq C(||\nabla p||_1||\nabla\cdot u||^2 + ||\nabla p||_1||\nabla u||^2 + ||u||_1||\nabla u||^2) \\ &\leq C\epsilon||\nabla u||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Taking the same argument to estimate $\langle G, \nabla p\rangle$, we have

$$|\langle G, \nabla p\rangle| \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2). \tag{4.14}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we easily get

$$|a\langle u, \nabla p\rangle| \leq C||u||^2 + \frac{\kappa_2}{4}||\nabla p||^2. \tag{4.15}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, putting (4.12), (4.13) (4.14) and (4.15) into (4.11) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle \nabla p, u\rangle + \frac{\kappa_2}{2}||\nabla p||^2 \leq C||u|| + C\epsilon||\nabla u||. \tag{4.16}$$

Multiplying (4.10) by D_1 suitably large and adding it to (4.16), we finally deduce the lemma since $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Our next goal is to deal the higher order estimate of (p, u).

Lemma 4.2. For any $0 \leq t \leq T$, there exists a constant $D_2 > 0$ sufficiently large which is independent of ϵ, t and T such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\{D_2 H_1(p(t), u(t)) + \sum\limits_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq 2}\langle \partial_x^\alpha \nabla p, \partial_x^\alpha u\rangle(t)\} \\ +C(||\nabla^2 p(t)||_1^2 + ||\nabla u(t)||_2^2) \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p(t)||^2 + ||u(t)||^2), \end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

where $H_1(p, u)$ is equivalent to $||\nabla(p, u)(t)||_2^2$, if ϵ is small enough.

Proof: For each multi-index α with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$, by applying ∂_x^α to $(2.1)_1 - (2.1)_2$, multiplying them by $\partial_x^\alpha p$, $\partial_x^\alpha u$ respectively and then integrating them over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||\partial_x^\alpha (p, u)||^2 + a||\partial_x^\alpha u|| \\ = & \langle \partial_x^\alpha p, \partial_x^\alpha F\rangle + \langle \partial_x^\alpha u, \partial_x^\alpha G\rangle \\ = & I_1 + I_2, \end{array} \tag{4.18}$$

where $I_i,\ i = 1, 2$ are the corresponding terms in the above equation which will be estimated as follows. Here and in the sequel proof, the repeated index denotes summation over the index.

Firstly, for I_1, it holds that,

$$\begin{array}{rl} I_1 = & -\frac{(R+c_v)\kappa_1}{c_v}\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, \partial_x^\alpha(p\nabla\cdot u)\rangle - \kappa_1\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, \partial_x^\alpha(u\cdot\nabla p)\rangle \\ = & -\frac{(R+c_v)\kappa_1}{c_v}\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, p\partial_x^\alpha(\nabla\cdot u)\rangle - \kappa_1\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, (u\cdot\nabla\partial_x^\alpha p)\rangle \\ & -\kappa_1 \sum\limits_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|-1}\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u\cdot\nabla\partial_x^\beta p\rangle \\ & -\sum\limits_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|-1}\frac{(R+c_v)\kappa_1}{c_v}C_\alpha^\beta\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}p\partial_x^\beta(\nabla\cdot u)\rangle \\ = & \sum\limits_{i=1}^4 I_{1,i}. \end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

By symmetry, Lemma 3.3 and some tedious but straightforward calculations, we can obtain

$$\sum_{i=2}^{4} I_i \leq C||(p,u,s)||_3(||\nabla p||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2) \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2). \tag{4.20}$$

From $(2.1)_1$, we have

$$div\ u = -\frac{c_v}{\kappa_2 c_v + (R+c_v)\kappa_1 p}(p_t + \kappa_1 u \cdot \nabla p + \frac{a\kappa_1^2 \rho u^2}{c_v}),$$

$$p_t = -\frac{\kappa_2 c_v + (R+c_v)\kappa_1 p}{c_v} div\ u - \kappa_1 u \cdot \nabla p - \frac{a\kappa_1^2 \rho u^2}{c_v},$$

which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,1} = & \ (R+c_v)\langle \partial_x^\alpha p, p\partial_x^\alpha (\tfrac{1}{\kappa_2 c_v + (R+c_v)\kappa_1 p}(p_t + \kappa_1 u \cdot \nabla p + \tfrac{a\kappa_1^2\rho u^2}{c_v}))\rangle \\ \leq & \ \tfrac{(R+c_v)}{2}\langle ((\partial_x^\alpha p)^2)_t, \tfrac{p}{\kappa_2 c_v + (R+c_v)\kappa_1 p}\rangle + C\epsilon(||\nabla p||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2) \\ \leq & \ \tfrac{(R+c_v)}{2}\tfrac{d}{dt}\langle (\partial_x^\alpha p)^2, \tfrac{p}{\kappa_2 c_v + (R+c_v)\kappa_1 p}\rangle + C\epsilon(||\nabla p||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Combining (4.19) and (4.21), we have

$$I \leq \frac{(R+c_v)}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle (\partial_x^\alpha p)^2, \frac{p}{\kappa_2 c_v + (R+c_v)\kappa_1 p}\rangle + C\epsilon(||\nabla p||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2).$$

Taking the same argument to deal I_2, then there exists a function $H_1(p,u)$ which is equivalent to $||(\nabla p, \nabla u)||_2^2$ and satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_1(p(t),u(t)) + ||\nabla u||_2^2 \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||_2^2) + ||u||^2). \tag{4.22}$$

By a direct computation, we have the estimate on $||\nabla \partial_x^\alpha p||$ for $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$ as following

$$\frac{\kappa_1}{2}\sum_{1\leq\alpha\leq 2}||\nabla\partial_x^\alpha p||^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq 2}\langle \partial_x^\alpha u, \nabla\partial_x^\alpha p\rangle \leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p||_2^2) + ||u||_3^2). \tag{4.23}$$

Since ϵ is sufficiently small, multiplying (4.22) by D_2 suitably large and adding it to (4.23) give (4.17). Thus we completes the proof of the lemma.

Finally, by symmetry and some tedious but straightforward calculation, we have the energy estimates on the entropy.

Lemma 4.3. It holds that

$$\frac{d}{dt}||s(t)|| \leq C||u(t)||_3||s(t)||_3^2, \tag{4.24}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T$.

5 The proof of global well-posedness

In this section, we are devoted to prove Proposition 2.2. We first consider a priori decay-in-time estimates on $(\nabla p, u)$. This will be based on Proposition 3.1 about the decay estimates on the linearized system. The decay-in-time estimate on p can be derived by decay-in-time estimates on $(\nabla p, u)$. Precisely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let (p, u, s) be the solution of (2.1), then p satisfies the following inequality

$$||\nabla p|| \leq CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} + C\epsilon \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} (||\nabla p(\tau)||_2 + ||u(\tau)||_3) d\tau, \tag{5.1}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, where $K_0 = ||(p_0, u_0)||_{L^1 \cap H^3}$ as in (2.5).

Proof: From the Duhamel's principle and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$||\nabla p|| \leq CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} + C\epsilon \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} (||(F,G)(\tau)||_{L^1 \cap H^1}) d\tau. \tag{5.2}$$

The nonlinear term (F, G) can be estimated as following

$$\begin{aligned} ||(F,G)||_{L^1} &\leq C||(p,u,s)(t)||_1(||\nabla p(t)||_1 + ||u(t)||_2) \\ &\leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p(t)||_1 + ||u(t)||_2), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ||(F,G)||_1 &\leq C||(p,u,s)(t)||_{W^{1,\infty}}(||\nabla p(t)||_2 + ||u(t)||_3) \\ &\leq C\epsilon(||\nabla p(t)||_2 + ||u(t)||_3), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Putting (5.3) and (5.4) into (5.2), we obtain (5.1). The proof of Lemma 5.1 is completed.

Now we are in a position to prove Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We do it by three steps.

Step 1: Since $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have a function $H_2(p, u)$ which is equivalent to $||(p, u)||_3^2$ and satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_2(p(t), u(t)) + C(||\nabla p(t)||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2) \leq 0, \tag{5.5}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, which implies (2.3).

Step 2: Multiplying $(2.1)_2$ by u, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ and using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}||u||^2 + C||u||^2 \leq C||\nabla p||^2 + C\epsilon||\nabla u||^2. \tag{5.6}$$

Now we define the temporal energy functional

$$H_3(t) = ||u(t)||^2 + D_2 H_1(p(t), u(t)) + \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le 2} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \nabla p, \partial_x^\alpha u\rangle(t)$$

for any $0 \le t \le T$, where it is noticed that $H_3(t)$ is equivalent to $||\nabla p||_2^2 + ||u||_3^2$ since D_2 can be large enough.

Combining Lemma 4.2 and (5.6), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_3(t) + C(||\nabla^2 p(t)||_1^2 + ||u(t)||_3^2) \le C||\nabla p||^2.$$

Adding $||\nabla p||^2$ to both sides of the above inequality gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_3(t) + D_3 H_3(t) \le C||\nabla p||^2, \tag{5.7}$$

where D_3 is a positive constant independent of ϵ. Set

$$M(t) = \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} (1+\tau)^{\frac{5}{2}} H_3(\tau), \tag{5.8}$$

and notice that

$$||\nabla p(\tau)||_2 + ||u||_3 \le C\sqrt{H_3(\tau)} \le C(1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}}\sqrt{M(t)},\ 0 \le \tau \le t \le T. \tag{5.9}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\nabla p(t)|| &\le CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} + C\epsilon \textstyle\int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} d\tau \sqrt{M(t)} \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}(K_0 + \epsilon\sqrt{M(t)}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Hence, by the Gronwall's inequality, (5.7) and (5.10) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(t) &\le e^{-D_3 t} H_3(0) + C \textstyle\int_0^t e^{-D_3(t-\tau)} ||\nabla p(\tau)||^2 d\tau \\ &\le e^{-D_3 t} H_3(0) + C \textstyle\int_0^t e^{-D_3(t-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{2}} d\tau (K_0^2 + \epsilon^2 M(t)) \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}(K_0^2 + \epsilon^2 M(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Since $M(t)$ is non-decreasing, we have from (5.8) and (5.11) that

$$M(t) \le C(K_0^2 + \epsilon^2 M(t)), \tag{5.12}$$

for any $0 \le t \le T$, which implies that

$$M(t) \le CK_0^2, \tag{5.13}$$

since $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough. Thus we obtain (2.7) from (5.9) and (5.13).

Next, using Proposition 3.1, (5.3) and (5.4), it follows from the Duhamel's principle that

$$\begin{aligned}||p(t)|| &\le CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + C\int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}}||(F,G)(\tau)||_{L^1\cap L^2}d\tau\\ &\le CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + C\int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(||\nabla p(\tau)||_2 + ||u||_3)d\tau\\ &\le CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + CK_0\int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}}d\tau\\ &\le K_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \le t \le T$. Thus (2.6) is proved.

Step 3: By Lemma 4.3 and Gronwall's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}s(t) &\le s(0)\exp\{C\int_0^t ||u(\tau)||_3 d\tau\}\\ &\le s(0)\exp\{CK_0\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}}d\tau\}\\ &\le s(0)\exp\{CK_0\}\end{aligned}$$

i.e., for any $0 \le t \le T$,

$$||(p,u,s)(t)||_3^2 \le C||(p_0,u_0,s_0)||_3^2\exp\{CK_0\}.$$

Hence (2.4) holds. For (2.8), using the above estimates and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}||\partial_t(p,u,s)(t)|| &\le C(||u||_1 + ||\nabla p||)\\ &\le CK_0(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}.\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \le t \le T$. Thus, (2.8) is proved and this completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

References

[1] D. Coutand, S. Shkoller, Well-posedness in smooth function spaces for the moving-boundary 1-D compressible Euler equations in physical vacuum, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 64 (2011), 328–366.

[2] C. M. Dafermos, Can dissipation prevent the breaking of waves? In: Transactions of the Twenty-Sixth Conference of Army Mathematicians, 187-198, ARO Rep. 81, 1, U. S. Army Res. Office, Research Triangle Park, N.C., 1981.

[3] R. Duan, H. Ma, Global Existence and Convergence Rates for the 3-D Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations without Heat Conductivity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), 2299-2319.

[4] D. Y. Fang, J. Xu, Existence and asymptotic behavior of C^1 solutions to the multi-dimensional compressible Euler equations with damping, Nonlinear Analysis 70 (2009) 244-261.

[5] L. Hsiao, Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems and Dissipative Mechanisms, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.

[6] L. Hsiao, T. P. Liu, Convergence to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of a system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping, Comm Math Phys 143 (1992), 599-605.

[7] L. Hsiao, T. P. Liu, Nonlinear diffusive phenomena of nonlinear hyperbolic systems, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 14 (1993), 1-16.

[8] L. Hsiao, T. Luo, Nonlinear diffusive phenomena of solutions for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media, J. Differential Equations 125 (1996), 329-365.

[9] L. Hsiao, R. H. Pan, Initial-boundary value problem for the system of compressible adiabatic flowt hrough porous media. J. Differential Equations 159 (1999) 280-305.

[10] L. Hsiao, R. H. Pan, The damped p-system with boundary effects, Contemporary Mathematics, 255(2000), 109-123.

[11] L. Hsiao, D. Serre, Global existence of solutions for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media, SIAM J. Math Anal. 27 (1996), 70-77.

[12] F. M. Huang, P. Marcati, R. H. Pan, Convergence to Barenblatt Solution for the Compressible Euler Equations with Damping and Vacuum, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 176 (2005), 1-24.

[13] F. M. Huang, R. H. Pan, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the damped compressible Euler equations with vacuum, J. Differential Equations, 220 (2006), 207-233.

[14] F. M. Huang, R. H. Pan, Convergence rate for compressible Euler equations with damping and vacuum, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 166 (2003) 359-376.

[15] J. Jang, N. Masmoudi, Well-posedness for compressible Euler equations with physical vacuum singularity, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 62 (2009), 1327-1385.

[16] J. Jang, N. Masmoudi, Well-posedness of compressible Euler equations in a physical vacuum arXiv: 1005. 4441.

[17] T. Kato, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58 (1975) 181-205.

[18] T. P. Liu, Compressible flow with damping and vacuum, Japan J. Appl. Math, 13 (1996), 25-32.

[19] A. Majda, Compressible Fluid Flow and Conservation laws in Several Space Variables, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1984.

[20] P. Marcati, A. Milani, The one-dimensional Darcy's law as the limit of a compressible Euler flow, J. Differential Equations 84 (1990), no. 1, 129-147.

[21] P. Marcati, B. Rubino, Hyperbolic to Parabolic Relaxation Theory for Quasilinear First Order Systems, J. Differential Equations 162 (2000), no.2, 359–399.

[22] P. Marcati, R. H. Pan, On the diffusive profiles for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), 790-826.

[23] T. Nishida, Global solutions for an initial-boundary value problem of a quasilinear hyperbolic systems, Proc. Japan Acad. 44 (1968) 642-646.

[24] T. Nishida, Nonlinear hyperbolic equations and relates topics in fluid dynamics, Publ. Math. D'Orsay (1978) 46-53.

[25] R. H. Pan, Boundary effects and large time behavior for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media, Michigan Math. J. 49 (2001), 519-539.

[26] R. H. Pan, K. Zhao, The 3D compressible Euler equations with damping in a bounded domain. J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 581-596.

[27] T. C. Sideris, B. Thomases, D. H. Wang, Long time behavior of solutions to the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 795-816.

[28] Z. Tan, G. C. Wu, Large time behavior of solutions for compressible Euler equations with damping in $\mathbb{R}^3$, J. Differential Equations (in press).

[29] W. Wang, T. Yang, The pointwise estimates of solutions for Euler equations with damping in multi-dimensions. J. Differential Equations, 173 (2001), 410-450.

[30] H. J. Zhao, Convergence to strong nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of p-system with damping, J. Differential Equations, 174 (2001), 200-236.

[31] Y. Zheng, Global smooth solutions to the adiabatic gas dynamics system with dissipation terms, Chinese Ann. Math. 17A (1996), 155-162.

[32] C. J. Zhu, Convergence rates to nonlinear diffusion waves for weak entropy solutions to p-system with damping, Science in China, Ser. A, 46 (2003), 562-575.